



Confidential Legal Search · Practice Group Strategy · Lateral Partner Moves

BRIEFING NO. 03 · MARKET REPORT

# Inside the Legal AI Leadership Market — 2026

---

A field report from inside the most structurally tight segment of legal recruiting today. The roles, the supply gaps, and where firms are winning and losing the search for in-firm AI leadership.

# The Headline

The market for legal AI leadership talent in 2026 looks structurally different from any prior recruiting cycle. New role categories. Compressed search timelines on the firm side and extended ones on the candidate side. An unusually wide gap between firms that have hired the function and firms that are still studying it. This briefing summarizes what we are seeing inside our active mandates.

**5+**NET-NEW ROLE CATEGORIES  
CREATED SINCE 2024**~30**ACTIVE OPERATORS WITH  
SHIPPED IN-FIRM AI  
PROGRAMS**9 mo**AVG. FIRM-SIDE TIME-TO-  
HIRE FOR A CHIEF AI  
OFFICER

## WHERE DEMAND IS CONCENTRATED

Five role categories are absorbing nearly all current search activity. Demand is not evenly distributed — the firms most aggressive in their hiring are concentrating on the seats where the supply gap is widest and where the downstream leverage on the program is highest.

- 01** Chief AI Officer or Director of Legal AI. The single most-searched seat in legal recruiting today, driven by ExCom recognition that committee-led AI has failed to ship.
- 02** Legal Engineer. The first true builder hire. Pipeline is thin because the role barely existed three years ago, and most candidates with the title have not shipped to attorneys.
- 03** Agentic AI Engineer. The newest category. Almost no candidates with production agents inside a law firm. Most viable candidates come from in-house legal teams or AI-native startups.
- 04** AI Governance Counsel. Driven by EU AI Act readiness, US state-level regulation, and client demand for documented AI posture. Frequently confused with Privacy Counsel — and is not the same role.
- 05** Knowledge and PSL leadership with explicit AI mandate. CKO retirements are forcing firms to redesign the knowledge function around AI rather than refill the legacy seat.

## WHERE SUPPLY IS TIGHT

- Operators who have actually built a Chief AI Officer function from zero inside an AmLaw firm. The pool is small, and the candidates all know each other.
-

Legal Engineers with shipped production tools, not just internal demos. Most candidates with the title have not delivered software that attorneys use daily.

- Agentic AI Engineers with legal context. The intersection of agent engineering and legal workflow is barely a market yet.
- Partner-level Governance Counsel with both AI-Act-grade regulatory depth and real operational instincts. Most candidates have one of the two, not both.
- Knowledge leaders willing to own AI as the next iteration of the function. Many CKO-track candidates still see AI as a threat to KM rather than its successor.

### THE VISIBILITY PARADOX

The candidates most in demand are precisely the candidates least visible on the open market. They are happy in their seats, building, and rarely respond to inbound. Reaching them requires a different kind of outreach and a different level of relationship — which is exactly the kind of search work VortexLegal was built for.

## WHAT WE EXPECT OVER THE NEXT 12 MONTHS

- 01** A wave of CKO and Director of Innovation absorptions. As legacy CKOs retire, AI leaders will increasingly inherit knowledge, library, and enterprise search — and firms will rebuild those functions with an AI-first mandate rather than refill the prior role.
- 02** The rise of the in-firm Agentic AI Engineer. Firms that hire their first one in 2026 will pull meaningfully ahead of those that wait.
- 03** AI Governance Counsel separating from the GC's office. As AI use becomes material and EU AI Act enforcement begins, firms will move the role onto the AI org chart rather than leave it inside General Counsel.
- 04** Active debate about PSL placement — centralized inside knowledge, or decentralized into practice groups. Both models can work; the failure mode is having no model at all.
- 05** A widening gap between firms with an AI org chart and firms with an AI committee, and an accelerating leadership talent flow from the latter to the former.

## HOW WE CAN HELP

VortexLegal runs confidential, partner-led searches for the Chief AI Officer, Director of Legal AI, Legal Engineer, Agentic AI Engineer, AI Governance Counsel, and AI-mandate knowledge

leadership seats. We work directly with managing partners, ExCom members, COOs, and chairs — and with the candidates who do not respond to anyone else. If you are evaluating a hire, restructuring your AI function, or moving the program off the Innovation Committee and onto the org chart, we welcome a confidential conversation.



*The firms moving now are buying leadership talent at a discount that will not be available eighteen months from now. The pool only gets tighter from here.*

— VortexLegal

#### ABOUT VORTEXLEGAL

**A modern legal recruiting firm built for the way law firms hire today.**

Confidential conversations, partner-led search, and deep specialty coverage across AmLaw firms, in-house teams, and emerging legal-tech practices.